



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/802,793	03/18/2004	Juen Tien Peng	MR1035-1430	4595
4586	7590 07/25/2005		EXAM	INER
ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE			HOGE, GARY CHAPMAN	
	TT CENTER DRIVE-SUIT	E 101	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

3611 DATE MAILED: 07/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	·			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/802,793	PENG, JUEN TIEN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gary C. Hoge	3611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) □ This action is FINAL.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/802,793

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, there is no clear antecedent basis for "the receiving space" (line 5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Huang (2005/0140845).

Huang discloses a seat back display comprising a housing 31 having a frame, a receiving chamber, a backboard, and two side walls; a receptacle 312 in the backboard; a display module 33 removably installed in the receiving chamber, the display module having a complementary connector 331 for connecting with the receptacle 312 for providing the display module with

Application/Control Number: 10/802,793 Page 3

Art Unit: 3611

power supply and display signals; and a connecting mechanism 313 connecting the housing and the display module, the display module being rotatable in the receiving chamber of the housing.

Regarding claim 2, see Fig. 5.

Regarding claim 3, see Fig. 8. The frame has an upper wall (toward which reference character 31 is pointing). The front of the upper wall (i.e., the end that's closer to the front of the car) is integrally connected to the backboard, which constitutes a support for preventing the display module from over-rotating in a counter-clockwise direction.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 4-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang (2005/0140845) in view of Brewington et al. (6,654,068).

Huang discloses the invention substantially as claimed, as set forth above. However, there are no guiding grooves in the side walls of the frame. Brewington teaches that it was known in the art to provide guiding grooves 130 in the sidewall of a frame 134 that are engaged by posts 128, in order to limit the rotation of an item that is rotatably attached to the frame. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the frame disclosed by Huang with guide grooves and posts, as taught by Brewington, in order to limit the range of rotation of the display.

Application/Control Number: 10/802,793

Art Unit: 3611

Regarding claim 6, because the grooves disclosed by Brewington are arcuate, if they were incorporated into the device disclosed by Huang, at least a portion of their extent would slope toward the backboard.

Regarding claim 7, Fig. 7 of Brewington shows that each end of the groove terminates in an arcuate recess.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary C. Hoge whose telephone number is (571) 272-6645. The examiner can normally be reached on 5-4-9.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on (571) 272-6651. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/802,793

Art Unit: 3611

793 Page 5

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9/197 (toll-free).

Gary C Hoge Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611

gch